(SRI B. D. JATTI)

village perhaps for this reason. No atrocities have been committed on the villagers of Markhal either by the Police and Revenue authorities or by Congressmen. An armed police party has been kept at the Markhal Village from 30th October 1967.

So far, the police have arrested 23 accused and 33 accused are absconding. A charge-sheet in this case has also been filed in the Court of First Class Magistrate, Humnabad on 8th December 1967 and the matter is subjudice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give the Ruling on the Point of Order. Hon'ble member, Sri V. N. Patil, on a matter for calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance, under Rule 63, when the Hon'ble Minister was reading the statement, raised a Point of Order. I asked the member, whether the Point of Order should be heard and decided before the statement was read. He referred to Rule 63 and said under that rule he is entitled to a copy to be given to him. He further said that it is the convention and he also said that if in his particular case the Chair is going to make a deviation, he may not perhaps feel quite happy. I am concerned only with the Point of Order. It proceeds on the footing that under Rule 63 he is entitled to a copy of the statement. The statement is to be made by the Minister and then the House is possessed of it. I do not think there is any rule which require a copy to be given. But, if on some occasions it has been done, it is with a view to facilitate the work in the House or help the members, and it is a matter of convenience which has been done. If the Member were to point out any instance or any rule under which he is entitled, I shall be happy to look into the aspect. Finding that there is no rule, he referred to the convention. I don't think there is any convention that copies are bound to be supplied.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Sir, I was delivered, with great courtesy, with a copy by the Hon'ble Minister now.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I tried to help the House by getting it cyclostyled in my office.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—We only ask for your protection, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member should not feel that I am making a distinction. The best thing is to allow the matter to rest at that.

MOTION OF NO CONFIDENCE IN THE MINISTRY

(Leave to introduce)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ತಮ್ಮ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಪಡೆದು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ :

"ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಈ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂಡಳದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸವಿಲ್ಲ".

Mr. SPEAKER.—I suppose I can take it up because I find one or two other persons have also given notices. There are other members also who have given notice even a little earlier. I am trying to reconcile and see that there is no confusion.

Mr. Sivappa has given notice of this motion :

"ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಈ ಮುಂತ್ರಿ ಮುಂಡಳದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸವಿಲ್ಲ."

May I know how many rise in support of it ?

(Counting was taken)

More than 30 have stood in support of it. The Motion is admitted. Under the rules, I am bound to fix the time for discussion either the next day or within the next three days consistent with the other work. I will try to deal with it at appropriate time.

Leave to move the motion was granted.

Member's Representation

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihara).—Sir, we read in papers that the Leader of the House is proceeding to Delhi. In order to facilitate any useful debate and discussion on this subject, I would request you to take it up when the Leader of the House is in Bangalore and when he is attending the session.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO Sri V. NAGAPPA—GRANT OF

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is one announcement. "I have received from Sri V. Nagappa, Member, Mysore Legislative Assembly, requesting for leave of absence from attending the current sittings of the Assembly. Is it the pleasure of the Assembly that permission be granted to Sri V. Nagappa for being absent from attending the current sittings of the Assembly ?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Permission to remain absent is granted.

STATEMENT OF THE CHIEF MINISTER ON THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON THE MAHARASHTRA-MYSORE-KERALA BOUNDARIES DISPUTES.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—As I am immediately proceeding to Delhi, I want to intervene in the dabate on the boundary question if I am permitted to do so.